TO:

Mr. Alberto M. Carvalho, Superintendent of Schools

THROUGH:

Judith M. Marte, Chief Financial Officer

FROM:

Melody Y. Thelwell, Chief Procurement Office

**Procurement Management Services** 

SUBJECT:

SUPERINTENDENT'S EXTENSION OF CONTRACTS

The following contracts are requested to be approved as Superintendent's Extension of Contracts, as authorized by School Board Policy 6320, Purchasing. It is the District's established practice, for Procurement Management Services to apply the contract amount for each approved extension, during the term of the contracts. There is no obligation to purchase any amount within the contract period as set forth in the bid solicitation. It is requested that you review and approve the extensions listed below:

2017 MAY -9 PM 1:59

Contract	Contract Title	Board	#of	Board	Approved	Board	#of	Current	New	New	Reason	For	Contract
Number		Approval	Extensions	Amount	ripproved	Approved	Extensions	Contract	Contract	Contract	extension		
		Date	Granted			Term	Used	Expenditures	Amount	Term	CATCHSION	1 VS. 1C-	Diu
RFP-031-	Legal Services	July 2,	2- 1 year	\$140 pc	er hour for	1 year	1	Expelialitates	Refer to	7/01/2017	Originatin	a denorti	ment has
NN10		2013	term	partners; \$250 per		1 year			Board	through	Originating department has requested to utilize second		
					r complex				Awarded	6/30/2018	extension.		
				commerc	ial litigation;				hourly	0/30/2010	to submit a		
				\$125 pc	er hour for				rates		Legal Serv		
					tes; \$75 for				(noted in		7/1/2018.		<u> </u>
					s in General				Column				
	v.		4		y; \$120 per				5)				
			*		partners and								
					r hour for						-		
					in Worker's								
		*			sation; \$295								
					for partners								
					per hour for egals in								
					ion; \$394 per								
					partners and								
					r hour for								
					als in Real								
2					state								

REVIEWED:

School Board Attorney

APPROVED:

inerintendent of Schools

Jose L. DotresHd OI NAMINOSON

MYT:ch

## CONTRACT EXTENSION SUMMARY

Contract No.:

RFP-031-NN10

Contract Title:

LEGAL SERVICES

Board Meeting Date:

July 17, 2013

Agenda Item: E-142

Purpose of Contract: The purpose of this contract is to obtain the legal services of outside counsel from the private sector with extensive legal experience to represent the School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, in specific areas of expertise, on an asneeded basis in order to facilitate increased efficiencies in case management, for

the Office of the School Board Attorney.

Initial Award Amount: \$0 – Board awarded different hourly rates.

Initial Contract Award Period and Extension:

July 1, 2013 – June 30, 2016

Three (3) years, with an option to extend for two (2) additional one (1) year periods beyond the expiration

date of the current contract period.

Current Extension Amount: N/A

Current Extension Period:

July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

Recommended Extension Award Amount: \$515,000

Recommended Extension Period: July 1, 2017 – June 30, 2018

This is the second extension of the contract. The awardee has agreed to extend for an additional one (1) year period, by letter on

file.

Vendors Recommended for Contract Extension: Twelve (12)

- 1. Angones, McClure & Garcia, P.A.
- 2. Cole, Scott & Kissane, P.A.
- Eduardo E. Neret, P.A., M/WBE 3.
- Haliczer Pettis & Schwamm, P.A. 4.
- Isabel S. Martinez, P.A., M/WBE,SBE 5.
- 6. Jones, Hurley & Hand, P.A.
- Kelley Kronenberg, P.A. 7.
- Martinez Roman Goehl, P.A., M/WBE,SBE 8.
- 9. Michael J. Ring, LLC.
- Taylor Espino Vega & Touron, P.A. 10.
- Weiss, Serota, Helfman, Pastoriza, Cole & Boniske, P.A. 11.
- Wasserman & Thomas, P.A., M/WBE, MBE 12.

Cost Savings: No 🗹

Justification: It is considered to be in the best interest of the District to extend this contract for an additional one (1) year period, to benefit from fixed prices and continuity of service, inasmuch as the Consumer Price Index (CPI), as of December 2016, indicated an increase of 0.3%. Staff has indicated satisfactory performance from the vendor and recommends extension. This extension is based on the terms and conditions contained in the original contract approved by the School Board.

Benchmark:

Attempts were made to benchmark against the School Boards of Broward and Palm Beach counties; however, the terms and conditions are not similar, so benchmarking could not be performed.

Authorization	to	proceed	with	extension:
1 Iumonizumon	LU	procedu	AATOTT	OVICTIBILITY.

Approved

Denied

ma Hodge, Procurement Analyst

Melody Y. Thelwell, Chief Procurement Officer