## SUPERINTENDENT'S OFFICE

2015 AUG 20 AM 10: 07

August 13, 2015 M0282-MYT MYT/995-1434

TO:

Mr. Alberto M. Carvalho, Superintendent of Schools

THROUGH:

Judith M. Marte, Chief Financial Officer

FROM:

Melody Y. Thelwell, Chief Procurement Officer

**Procurement Management Services** 

SUBJECT:

SUPERINTENDENT'S EXTENSION OF CONTRACTS

The following contracts are requested to be approved as Superintendent's Extension of Contracts, as authorized by School Board Policy 6320, Purchasing. It is the District's established practice, for Procurement Management Services to apply the contract amount for each approved extension, during the term of the contracts. There is no obligation to purchase any amount within the contract period as set forth in the bid solicitation. It is requested that you review and approve the extensions listed below:

| Contract | Contract Title  | Board         | # of       | Board     | Board                                   | # of       | Current      | New       | New                           | Reason For Contract extension   |
|----------|---|---------------|------------|-----------|---|------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------------------|---|
| Number   |   | Approval      | Extensions | Approved  | Approved                                | Extensions | Contract     | Contract  | Contract                      | vs. re-Bid  |
| i_       |   | Date          | Granted    | Amount    | Term                                    | Used       | Expenditures | Amount    | Term                          |   |
| 076-NN10 | Outside Agencies Qualified to Operate Alternative Education Programs#2 PACE | June 19, 2013 | 2          | \$296,320 | 1 year;<br>9/3/13<br>through<br>6/30/14 | 1          | \$296,320    | \$296,320 | 8/13/15<br>through<br>6/30/16 | New Bid will be released before expiration date, with additional set-asides for minority vendors. |

REVIEWED:

See attached Approval

School Board Attorney

APPROVED:

Alberto M. Carvalho

Superintendent of Schools

2015 ALES I 7 AM II: 38

CINANOIAL SERVICES

RUSH

## **CONTRACT EXTENSION SUMMARY**

Contract No.: 076-NN10

Contract Title: OUTSIDE AGENCIES QUALIFIED TO OPERATE ALTERNATIVE EDUCATION

PROGRAMS FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS AT-RISK

STUDENTS #2

Board Meeting Date: September 3, 2013 - Agenda Item C-12

Purpose of Contract: The purpose of this contract is to provide services to at-risk and/or adjudicated

school-age youth, in grades 6-12, within Miami-Dade County, Florida, for

School Operations/Alternative Education.

Initial Award Amount: \$296,320

Initial Contract Award Period and Extension: September 3, 2013 – June 30, 2014

Ten (10) months, with an option to extend for two (2) additional one (1) year periods, and if needed, an additional ninety (90) days beyond the expiration date of

the current contract period.

Current Extension Amount: \$296,320

Current Extension Period: July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2015

Recommended Extension Award Amount: \$296,320

Recommended Extension Period: August 13, 2015 – June 30, 2016

This is the second extension of the contract. The awardee has agreed

to extend for an additional one (1) year period, by letter, on file.

Vendor(s) Recommended for Contract Extension: One (1)

PACE Center for Girls, Inc.

Cost Savings:

Yes  $\square$ 

No 🔀

Amount:

Justification: It is considered to be in the best interest of the District to extend this contract for an additional one (1) year period, to benefit from fixed prices and continuity of service, inasmuch as the Consumer Price Index (CPI), as of March 2015, indicated an increase of 0.2%. Staff has indicated satisfactory performance from the vendor and recommends extension. This extension is based on the price, terms, and conditions contained in the original contract approved by the School Board.

Authorization to proceed with extension:

Approved

Denied

Date: \$\frac{3}{5}.\$

Melody Y. Thelwell, Chief Procurement Officer

Benchmark: Attempts were made to benchmark against the School Boards of Broward and Palm

Beach Counties, however, the terms and conditions are not similar, so benchmarking