

MEMORANDUM

April 11, 2014
M0180-BSM
BSM/995-2414

RECEIVED
 DISTRICT DIRECTOR
 APR 11 2014 10:07:28

TO: Mr. Alberto M. Carvalho, Superintendent of Schools

THROUGH: Richard H. Hinds, Chief Financial Officer *[Signature]*

FROM: Barry S. Meltz, District Director
 Procurement Management Services *[Signature]*

SUBJECT: SUPERINTENDENT'S EXTENSION OF CONTRACTS


The following contracts are requested to be approved as Superintendent's Extension of Contracts, as authorized by Board Policy 6320, Purchasing. It is the District's established practice, for Procurement Management Services to apply the contract amount for each approved extension, during the term of the contracts. There is no obligation to purchase any amount within the contract period as set forth in the bid solicitation. It is requested that you review and approve the extensions listed below:


<u>CONTRACT NO.</u>	<u>CONTRACT TITLE</u>	<u>AWARD AMOUNT</u>	<u>EXTENSION PERIOD</u>
1. 051-LL08	CHARTER-BUS SERVICES – IN AND OUT OF COUNTY	\$300,000	04/18/14 – 04/17/15
2. 071-LL04	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CONSULTANTS	\$3,485,638	05/16/14 – 05/15/15
3. 041-LL06	FENCE-LINE AND LOT MAINTENANCE, NORTH AND SOUTH OF FLAGLER STREET	\$16,622	06/15/14 – 09/14/14 ✓
4. 018-KK06	DEMOLITION OF PORTABLE CLASSROOMS AND SITE RESTORATION	\$3,000,000	06/16/14 – 06/15/15
5. 002-JJ11	SECURITY ALARM PARTS AND SUPPLIES	\$13,674	06/17/14 – 09/16/14 ✓
6. 014-LL09	MAINTENANCE INSPECTION DEFICIENCY REPAIRS AND RENOVATIONS (REBID)	\$2,386,374	06/17/14 – 12/16/15
7. 049-NN08	AIR CONDITIONERS, WINDOW AND WALL	\$913,050	06/19/14 – 06/18/15
8. 054-LL04	COMPUTER EQUIPMENT SERVICE AND REPAIR (ON-SITE)	\$97,552	07/01/14 – 06/30/15

4/14
 911.17 14-577

Mr. Alberto M. Carvalho
Superintendent of Schools
April 11, 2014
Page 2

9. 041-NN10	MANAGER TO SUPERVISE AND FACILITATE CHALLENGE ROPES COURSE AT RUTH OWENS KRUSE EDUCATIONAL CENTER	\$48,600	07/01/14 – 06/30/15
10. 060-MM06	ELEVATOR-SERVICE CONTRACT	\$600,000	08/01/14 – 07/31/15
11. 070-LL04	IT HARDWARE	\$18,418,188	10/01/14 – 09/30/15

REVIEWED:  5/15/14
School Board Attorney

APPROVED:  (M7)
Alberto M. Carvalho
Superintendent of Schools

BSM/aay

CONTRACT EXTENSION SUMMARY

Contract No.: 054-LL04

Contract Title: COMPUTER EQUIPMENT SERVICE AND REPAIR (ON-SITE)

Board Meeting Date: December 14, 2011 – Agenda Item E-143

Purpose of Contract: The purpose of this contract is to purchase, at firm unit prices, quantities, as may be required, of on-site computer equipment service and repair, for Information Technology Services, and administrative and school sites.

Initial Award Amount: \$270,000

Initial Contract Award Period and Extension: December 14, 2011 – June 30, 2013
Eighteen (18) months, with an option to extend for three (3) additional one (1) year periods and, if needed, an additional ninety (90) days beyond the expiration date of the current contract period.

Current Extension Amount: \$111,919

Current Extension Period: July 1, 2013 – June 30, 2014

Recommended Extension Award Amount: \$97,552

Recommended Extension Period: July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2015
This is the second extension of the contract. The awardees have agreed to extend for an additional one (1) year period, by letter, on file.

Vendors Recommended for Contract Extension: Three (3)

ATCI Communications, Inc.
BLM Technologies of Florida, LLC, d.b.a. Evolvtec
C&C International Computers and Consultants, Inc.

Cost Savings: No

Justification: It is considered to be in the best interest of the District to extend this contract for an additional one (1) year period, to benefit from fixed prices and continuity of service, inasmuch as the Consumer Price Index (CPI), as of February 2014, indicated an increase of 0.1% with an annual index increase of 1.1%. Staff has indicated satisfactory performance from the vendors and recommends extension. This extension is based on the terms, conditions and pricing contained in the original contract approved by the School Board.

Benchmark: Attempts were made to benchmark against the School Boards of Broward and Palm Beach Counties, however, the terms and conditions are not similar, so benchmarking could not be performed.