



THE SCHOOL BOARD OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA  
SCHOOL BOARD ADMINISTRATION BUILDING  
Procurement Management Services  
1450 N.E. 2nd Avenue, Room 650  
Miami, FL 33132

Direct All Inquiries To  
Procurement Management Services

Buyer's Name: Margret Fley

PHONE: (305) 995- 2339

Email: fley.m@dadeschools.net

TDD PHONE: (305) 995-2400

**BID/RFP ADDENDUM**

Date: 02/09/2026

Addendum No. 7

BID/RFP No. ITB-24-047-MF BID/RFP TITLE: Desktop Computers, Laptops, Servers, Monitors & Carts

**This addendum modifies the conditions of the above-referenced BID/RFP as follows:**

The addendum provides additional answers to the questions received by the established deadline.

The deadline for this solicitation remains as: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 at 1pm EST.

All other terms and conditions of this ITB will remain the same.

*All information, specifications terms, and conditions for the above-referenced BID/RFP, are included on the document posted on the Procurement Management website at <http://procurement.dadeschools.net>*

*The attached pages containing clarifications, additional information and requirements constitute an integral part of the referenced bid. If your bid/proposal has not been submitted, substitute the pages marked REVISED and mail your entire bid/proposal package.*

**I acknowledge receipt of Addendum Number \_\_\_\_\_**

PLEASE NOTE: If your firm has forwarded a copy of this bid/proposal to another vendor, it is your responsibility to forward him/her a copy of this addendum.

**(PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT BELOW)**

LEGAL NAME OF BIDDER: \_\_\_\_\_

MAILING ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

CITY, STATE ZIP CODE: \_\_\_\_\_

TELEPHONE NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_ E-MAIL \_\_\_\_\_ FAX # \_\_\_\_\_

BY: SIGNATURE (Manual): \_\_\_\_\_  
OF AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE

NAME (Typed): \_\_\_\_\_ TITLE: \_\_\_\_\_  
OF AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE

***Invitation to Bid***  
***ITB-24-047-MF - Desktop Computers, Laptops, Servers, Monitors, and Carts***

**ADDENDUM NO. 7**

Please note the below questions were submitted by the established deadline.

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:**

**Q1: Would the district like pricing for imaging, enrollment or provisioning included in the price of the device?**

A1: Vendors are free to suggest additional options/services for the District to consider, but awards will be made based on the minimum specifications.

**Q2: Would the District consider adding an 11-inch option to the Chromebook and Laptop sections?**

A2: No. The 11-inch Chromebook is not included as part of this bid contract. Notebooks with screens smaller than 13" are considered student devices and are covered by ITB-23-008-MF.

**Q3: For the UV printed asset tags, are Code128 barcodes acceptable in lieu of Code39 barcodes? Code128 barcodes are bolder and less subject to wear.**

A3: All devices for this bid must utilize Code39 barcodes. The District welcomes the submission of Code128 samples to the Innovation & Advancement team for compatibility testing, which may assist the District in future specification changes.

**Q4: For the UV printed asset tags, will the district be providing the layout or will the provider need to provide options?**

A4: Refer to Section 6.3.2 and Section 6.17. The District will work with the awarded vendor to provide District logo and approve the final layout for UV printing.

**Q5: Would the district entertain options for Accidental Damage Protection on Mobile Devices?**

A5: Please refer to response for Question 1.

**Q6: Group A-1a: i9 CAD Workstation Graphics/Programing Workstation Bundle: Are there any other applications we need to take into consideration for programming outside of CAD for this use case?**

A6: All devices submitted for Group A-1a which meet or exceed the specifications provided in the pricing and specifications spreadsheet will be appropriate.

**Q7: Can we submit any deviation/alternative Cart requirement/specification listed in the ITN and spreadsheet?**

A7: Yes, only if it meets or exceeds the minimum specifications on the pricing spreadsheet.

**Q8: Group BA-1: i AR/VR/eSports Laptop Target: Hi-End Laptop for AR/VR, Gaming, and eSports with video card) and Group BA-2 (15" High-End Laptop Target: Hi-End Laptop for programmers, administrators or graphic intensive staff needing a touch enabled screen 15" or larger): Processor specification: The spec lists two processors with very different cost, performance, and power profiles (Intel Core Ultra 7 268V vs an H Class Intel Core i7 14650HX). Could you clarify the primary workloads and top priority—mobility/battery life and on device AI efficiency, or maximum multi threaded performance and sustained compute—so we can align to the correct CPU??**

- A9: The two options were provided to aid vendor flexibility. The District would also accept the following options: Intel® Core™ Ultra 7 Processor 155H (24M Cache, up to 4.80 GHz) Meteor Lake or Intel® Core™ Ultra 9 Processor 275HX (36MB cache, 24 cores, 2.1 to 5.4 GHz P-Core).
- Q10: BA-3: 14" Teacher Laptop Target: 14" Teacher Laptop: On the processor specification, the listed H-series CPUs are optimized for high-performance, sustained multi-threaded workloads, making them ideal for demanding tasks such as video editing, 3D rendering, and CAD applications. However, considering the typical use case for a teacher's laptop—which primarily involves everyday productivity tasks—Intel's U or V series processors may be more appropriate. These processors are designed for energy efficiency and extended battery life, offering sufficient performance for standard educational workflows while enhancing portability and usability. Would U or V series processors be acceptable for this use case?**
- A11: Refer to Question 66, on Addendum 6. No, not for these Windows laptops. Currently the typical use case for a teacher's laptop is not appropriate for "U" and "V" processors, which are designed for low and ultra-low power, versus the "H" and "HX" processors, which are designed for high performance and required, per specifications. If there is a substitution made for any of the specifications, it must meet or exceed the specifications provided.
- Q12: Category BB - Would the District also consider windows devices with Google Flex licenses for this category?**
- A12: No. Category BB devices are dedicated Chrome OS devices.
- Q13: Are there any general quantity projections? Are there any historical data to reference?**
- A13: There is no pre-determined quantity to be purchased. Pricing in response to this bid should be submitted as one unit price. However, the District will purchase multiple quantities throughout the term of the award. Refer to Section 6.30 – Estimated Quantities. Additionally, vendors may submit a public record request for current inventory information.
- Q14: Is the minimum warranty expectation 5 years for all equipment?**
- A14: Yes, as per the specifications. Laptop batteries are the exception, which are three years, as well as the metal and structural components of charging carts, which have a ten-year warranty.
- Q15: How often will the District be refreshing the specifications?**
- A15: Refer to Section 5.3 – Price Adjustment and Section 6.15 – Price Changes.
- Q16: How does the District intend to implement Section 287.138, Florida Statutes?**
- A16: The District requires all bidders to complete and comply with the Foreign Country of Concern Attestation. Refer to Question 15 in Addendum 6.
- Q17: Will it be possible to ask additional follow up questions?**
- A18: No, responses are only provided for questions received on or before the established deadline.
- Q19: Group D-1 - Would the district accept a charging cart with a rear panel and built in lock?**
- A19: Yes - but only if it has lockable doors and includes everything needed to secure equipment - either through a metal hasp and padlock, and/or keyed locking handles; ideally, with multi-point security (2 or 3 bolt locking system). If a padlock (keyed or combination) is required, it must be included.
- Q20: Would the district accept a charging cart with a full-access single door instead of double doors?**

A20: Not currently. Double doors are preferred in tight spaces.

**Q21: Group D-2 - Would the district accept a cabinet with 1 inch of space between the dividers?**

A21: No. The specification calls for “at least 1.25” or more spacing” so a smaller opening may not accommodate all devices.

**Q22: Group D-3 - Would the district accept a charging cart with a full-access single door instead of double doors?**

A22: Not currently. Double doors are preferred in tight spaces.