



THE SCHOOL BOARD OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
 SCHOOL BOARD ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
 Procurement Management Services
 1450 N.E. 2nd Avenue, Room 650
 Miami, FL 33132

Direct All Inquiries To
 Procurement Management Services

Buyer's Name: Yusbel Gonzalez

PHONE: (305) 995- 4203

Email: yusbelg@dadeschools.net

TDD PHONE: (305) 995-2400

BID/RFP ADDENDUM

Date: 06/04/2025

Addendum No. 3

BID/RFP No. ITN-24-033-YG BID/RFP TITLE: Web Content Filtering System

This addendum modifies the conditions of the above-referenced BID/RFP as follows:

Please see the attached answers to the questions received and revised ITN Section 5, Section 8, and Exhibit 9.

All other terms and conditions of the ITN will remain the same.

All information, specifications terms, and conditions for the above-referenced BID/RFP, are included on the document posted on the Procurement Management website at <http://procurement.dadeschools.net>

The attached pages containing clarifications, additional information and requirements constitute an integral part of the referenced bid. If your bid/proposal has not been submitted, substitute the pages marked REVISED and submit your entire bid/proposal package.

I acknowledge receipt of Addendum Number _____

PLEASE NOTE: If your firm has forwarded a copy of this bid/proposal to another vendor, it is your responsibility to forward him/her a copy of this addendum.

(PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT BELOW)

LEGAL NAME OF BIDDER: _____

MAILING ADDRESS: _____

CITY, STATE ZIP CODE: _____

TELEPHONE NUMBER: _____ E-MAIL _____ FAX # _____

BY: SIGNATURE (Manual): _____
 OF AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE

NAME (Typed): _____ TITLE: _____
 OF AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE

Invitation to Negotiate (ITN)
ITN-24-033-YG
Web Content Filtering System
ADDENDUM NO. 3

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:

Q1: Reference Letters, Page 18, section 5C

Does the district require an actual reference letter or does the district want the reference information to be captured on Exhibit 6, Proposer Experience Form. Or do you want both a reference letter and a form per reference?

A1: Per ITN Page 18, Section 5, Requirement c. "This must be documented on Exhibit 6, Proposer Experience Form, whereby each Proposer uses one form per reference." A separate reference letter is not required.

Q2: Are you looking for the OEMs to respond directly and assign a local partner/representative? Or do you want Resellers to respond?

A2: Please refer to the attached revised ITN Section 5, requirement e, and ITN Exhibit 9, requirement e).

Q3: Can a Reseller respond with multiple OEM's? All the same submission or multiple submissions in DemandStar?

A3: Please refer to the attached revised ITN Section 5, requirement e, and ITN Exhibit 9, requirement e).

Q4: Where do you want the Professional Services Implementation price to be broken out? It is not on the pricing sheet.

A4: Please refer to the attached revised ITN Section 8 – Proposal Pricing.

Q5: Is Miami-Dade County Public Schools looking to for assistance migrating off the current solution?

A5: The awarded proposer must provide an implementation plan for the new system and whatever is needed to migrate from the current system to a new system.

Q6: What is the timeline for migration?

A6: This will be determined based on the awarded system. The timeline for migration shall be included in the proposer's "implementation plan". The implementation plan is to be included under the "Proposed Approach and Methodology" section of the proposal, as indicated under ITN page 20 and 22.

Q7: Please confirm the current solution in place at Miami-Dade County Schools.

A7: Lightspeed.

Q8: When do you anticipate this contract being awarded?

A8: The award of this contract by the is anticipated in September 2025.

Q9: Is there a technical HW requirement and if so do you have a BOM?

A9: There is no technical HW requirement.

Q10: If not, is the vendor only required to manage the service?

A10: The IT staff of Miami Dade County Schools will manage the service; the awarded proposer is to provide support and implementation.

Q11: Do the references need to be US based only or can they be international/outside of the U.S.

A11: References are not limited by geographical location. However, per ITN Page 18, Section 5, requirement c., proposer must provide "Three (3) client reference letters from organizations comparable in complexity and/or size to M-DCPS, preferably.

Q12: How many district-issued assets are there in total? 1 to 1 for each student (250k)?

A12: Approximately: 300K Windows; 2K ChromeOS; 16K iPads 16K MacOS

Q13: How many managed devices would need coverage while leaving the district's network?

A13: Please refer to the answer for Question 12 (Q12) in this addendum.

Q14: What are the log retention requirements for both logging and reporting? How many days of logs would need to be stored?

A14: 180 days for logging retention and 180 days for reporting.

Q15: Would Miami-Dade Public Schools store the logs locally on district servers or would the logs be stored in a cloud-deployed storage mechanism?

A15: The provider of the service should have a solution for storing logs.

Q16: What is the breakdown of district-assets by operating system (Windows, Chromebooks, Mac, etc.)?

A16: Please refer to the answer for Question 12 (Q12) in this addendum.

Q17: Is the requirement to sustain 100 Gbps throughput for web-filtering or just need 100 Gbps connectivity with ability to burst up to 100 Gbps?

A17: The system must provide capacity for two 100 Gbps internet egress locations. Our current average bandwidth is 50-70 Gbps, burstable to 200Gbps.

Q18: What are the requirements for blocking URLs on un-managed machines?

A18: The user experience for filtering on managed and unmanaged machines should be the same or very similar.

Q19: What are the requirements for inspecting QUIC encrypted traffic?

A19: Currently the District blocks this protocol. Proposer may elaborate on how the proposed system would inspect QUICK encrypted traffic. This information may be included under the "Proposed Approach and Methodology" section of the proposal. Please refer to ITN page 20 and 22.

- Q20: Section 2 - Question 3.**
"The solution shall meet Customer integration requirements". Can you please clarify the specific integrations desired?
- A20: This requirement is not specified in this ITN.
- Q21: Section 1. II. Submitting of Proposals. Page 3.**
It states, "Proposals must be submitted on forms furnished by the Board, in compliance with the proposal submission requirements set forth under Section 6." From a response standpoint, it seems as though a form, spreadsheet/workbook is missing. What form or sheet are vendors required to respond to technical questions? Or, is this on our own paper?
- A21: Please refer to ITN Section 6 – Submission Requirements.
- Q22: 4.3.1 - SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS, FEATURES, AND SERVICES.**
Where do we put the technical answers?
- A22: Please refer to ITN Section 6.2 – Response Format, tab/section 6) Proposed Approach and Methodology.
- Q23: 4.3.1 - SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS, FEATURES, AND SERVICES.**
Will M-DCPS send a spreadsheet/table for the answers?
- A23: No. M-DCPS will not provide a spreadsheet/tablet. Please refer to the answer for Question 22 (Q22) in this addendum.
- Q24: 4.3.1 - SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS, FEATURES, AND SERVICES.**
Where do we put detailed answers versus Comply / Conform?
- A24: Per ITN Section 4.4, item 4. Proposers must provide "Detailed response outlining how the proposed System meets the specified requirements, features, and services as outlined in Section 4.3.1."
- Q25: 4.3.1 - SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS, FEATURES, AND SERVICES**
Do you want detailed answers or just comply / conform?
- A25: Please refer to the answer for Question 22 (Q22) in this addendum.
- Q26: To ensure we are providing a comprehensive response, would you be willing to extend the due date by up to two business weeks?**
- A26: The proposal due date may be extended upon publication of this addendum, if necessary, to ensure all proposers have adequate time to review the addendum and prepare responses.
- Q27: For the upcoming content filtering bid, would any optional services (Section 4.3.2) be considered as stand alone should the district not choose the content filtering option proposed?**
- A27: The intent of the optional services listed in Section 4.3.2 is for proposers to provide pricing for one or more of these services as part of their proposal. These optional services may be included for the District's consideration. The primary objective of this ITN is to procure a Web Content Filtering System. Optional services are not intended to be procured as standalone offerings separate from a content filtering solution.
- Q28: Page 15, Section 4.3.1. #2 / SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS, FEATURES, AND SERVICES**
What is the anticipated student and faculty growth for users over the contract term and what was the historical growth over the past two years?

- A28: Both student and faculty counts have decreased in the last few years. The District does not expect a rapid growth over the contract term.
- Q29: Page 26, Pricing Model #2, Section 8 / Proposal Pricing**
- Where do the current Internet connections egress? Are they at your facilities or third party? If a third party can you provide the names and location? IE: ATT DC Miami or DC 1 Tampa etc.**
- A29: M-DCPS – ITS building, Miami, Florida
Miami Dade County - ICFB Building, Miami, Florida
- Q30: What type of audit logs is the district looking for? e.g. auth events, config changes?**
- A30: Authentication logs, config change logs, application/system logs, security events, etc.
- Q31: What SIEM do you use?**
- A31: Anything that supports traditional formats for SIEM ingestion.
- Q32: I'm writing to kindly request a recording of the session, if one is available, it would be great to revisit a few key points we discussed.**
- A32: Please click on the following link to view a recording of the pre-proposal conference: [Pre-Proposal Conference - ITN-24-033-YG Recording.mp4](#). Please note that the link to this recording will expire on: Wednesday, June 18, 2025.
- Q33: Does Miami-Dade have a requirement or any preference for the web filter to integrate with third party systems such as SIEMs, end point, etc.? If yes, please elaborate.**
- A33: Yes. Proposer's system shall integrate with all systems possible and open standards. Additionally, please specify the protocol that the system can transfer logs to. This information may be included under the "Proposed Approach and Methodology" section of the proposal. Please refer to ITN page 20 and 22.
- Q34: I would like to request a copy of the recording of the pre-bid meeting for ITN 24-033-YG/Web Content Filtering System.**
- A34: Please refer to the answer for Question 32 (Q32) in this addendum.
- Q35: For requirement A on Section 5 "Minimum Qualification Requirements," if we are not a Florida company and do not have local occupational tax requirements in the location where we are headquartered are we okay to submit N/A for this requirement. If not, what other types of forms would you allow here?**
- A35: Per ITN Section 5, requirement a., "Proposers with a location outside Miami-Dade County shall meet their local Occupational Tax requirements." If no such requirements exist in the proposer's location, documentation from the local tax authority confirming this may be submitted with the proposal.
- Additionally, per ITN Section 5, requirement b., "If Proposer is not registered to transact business in the State of Florida, Proposer must provide a copy of Proposer's current registration in their state of incorporation/organization, along with the applicable exemption to this requirement as set forth in Section 607.1501, Florida Statutes."
- Q36: Please note that DemandStar is not allowing for multiple bids. So, does that mean only one bid?**
- A36: Yes. Only one proposal may be submitted.

Q37: Please explain the requirements for remote Students with a District device.

A37: Students using District-issued devices remotely must be subject to the same web content filtering policies and protections as if they were on a District network. The filtering solution must enforce these policies regardless of the student's physical location.

Q38: Is there a need to provide VIP access to individuals where NO Filtering will be required?

A38: Yes.

Q39: In regards to SECTION 4.3.1 SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS, FEATURES, AND SERVICES #12: "System shall be able to send audit logs to a separate SIEM (Security Information and Event Management) product."

- a. What information should be sent to SIEM?**
- b. Is there an example of the necessary information?**

A39: a. Authentication logs, config change logs, application/system logs , security events, etc

b. Your system must be capable of transmitting its operational and security logs to any third-party SIEM solution, supporting industry-standard open formats such as Syslog (RFC 5424/3164), Common Event Format (CEF), Log Event Extended Format (LEEF), JSON, and where applicable, NetFlow/IPFIX, or be able to forward Windows Event Logs (EVTX) in a compatible manner.

Q40: In regards to SECTION 4.3.1 SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS, FEATURES, AND SERVICES #1: "System must be compatible with both Microsoft Azure/Entra and Google Workspace in a mixed mode environment for user identification."

- a. Can you describe user membership to each directory service?**
- b. Are students and staff accounts hosted in both Azure/Entra and Google Workspace?**
- c. Are certain users present in one directory service over the other?**

A40: a. M-DCPS has 300,000+ users synced to both Microsoft Azure/Entra and Google Workspace.

b. Yes, the primary IDP is Microsoft Azure/Entra but accounts are provisioned in both.

c. No.

Q41: In regards to SECTION 4.3.1 SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS, FEATURES, AND SERVICES #3: "System must provide transparent filtering for devices not managed by the District."

- a. Does the district require identification and analytics for BYOD users connecting to guest networks or is the requirement that these devices be filtered in the same way as managed devices?**

A41: Yes, to both. Each user needs to be identified whether on a managed or unmanaged device. The devices should be filtered in the same way or as seamless as possible.

Q42: Does The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida utilizes VMware ESXi or another vendor?

A42: M-DCPS has a virtualized infrastructure.

Q43: SECTION 4.3.2 OPTIONAL SERVICES #3 "Provide a Client-side diagnostic utility to troubleshoot common issues."

Can you describe expectations and requirements for client-side diagnostic utility?

A43: A diagnostic utility may include live logging on the device, advanced parameters for a service on the device, and identification of policies or users.

Q44: SECTION 4.3 – REQUIRED SERVICES

2. System must support at least 100 Gigabits of continuous traffic with capability of scaling to higher throughputs in the future. The district has two Internet egress locations with 100 Gigabit interfaces each.

Questions:

- a. How are BYOD/Guest networks segregated from internal network traffic? (From the call)**
- b. Are BYOD/Guest VLANs currently routed at the core and passed to FWs via a next hop route or passed L2 and routed at the FW?**
- c. If the BYOD/Guest network traffic is not currently physically separated, would the district be open to a design discussion focused on enhancing security and reliability, while delivering improved non-managed device access at a reduced cost?**

- A44:**
- a. BYOD/Guest networks are separated into their own VLAN/subnet.
 - b. Each site at M-DCPS has a VLAN dedicated to BYOD/Guest access. These are then routed via layer 3 to our core to egress out to the internet. We are a hub and spoke topology.
 - c. A redesign of the district's network infrastructure is not in scope for this project however we would be open for a design discussion about it.

Q45: SECTION 4.3 – REQUIRED SERVICES

4. System must provide content filtering services for all devices that are utilizing the district's network as well as managed devices that leave periodically. Any agent needed on managed devices will support all available web browsers. This agent will support the following operating systems: Windows, macOS, iOS, iPadOS, Android and ChromeOS.

Question:

- a. Is there a requirement for off site Android device filtering?**

A45: Yes.

Q46: Our company would like to formally request a recording or transcript of today's pre-proposal conference for ITN 24-033-YG, Web Content Filtering System. I attended the meeting, but a few of my colleagues who couldn't join would also like to review the discussion.

Please let me know if there's a process for accessing the recording, or if any additional steps, like signing a release form, are required.

A46: Please refer to the answer for Question 32 (Q32) in this addendum.

Q47: Will the district select multiple vendors for these services, including the optional services?

A47: The District will select one proposer for these services. Please refer to ITN Section 7 – Evaluation / Selection Process.

Q48: Is the district actively considering a new safety or classroom management system as part of the optional services?

A48: Not at this time. But it is something that may be considered in the future under a separate procurement process.

Q49: What is the budget for these services?

A49: The estimated budget is between \$500,000 to \$1,000,000.

Q50: Does the district prefer an onsite or cloud based solution?

A50: No preference as long as it best meets the district's filtering requirements

Q51: Does the ITN require letters of reference from the customers listed as references?

A51: Please refer to the answer written for Question (Q1) in this addendum.

Q52: Can you please provide me with the recording from today's meeting?

A52: Please refer to the answer for Question 32 (Q32) in this addendum.

Q53: System shall be able to send audit logs to a separate SIEM:

- a. What kind of information specifically would Miami-Dade like in the audit log and what would be the method of data transfer?
- b. How does this currently function in your environment?
- c. What kind of data is Miami-Dade capturing and gathering as part of its current process?

A53:

- a. Authentication logs, config change logs, application/system logs, security events, etc. Using open standards and methods.
- b. Our current SIEM uses all open standards to ingest logs and events.
- c. Authentication logs, config change logs, application/system logs, security events, etc.

Q54: Provide a way to display relevant information about policy assignments, such as why a policy was applied, internal ticket numbers, etc:

- a. How are policy adjustments currently done in Miami-Dade's environment?
- b. Is it via a ticket request process?

A54:

- a. Policy adjustments are currently documented manually.
- b. Yes.

REVISED SECTION 5 – MINIMUM QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

All proposers are required to submit the following information to be considered for award. Failure to submit any of the required documents with the proposal may cause the proposer to be considered non-responsive and ineligible for further consideration:

REQUIREMENT	YES	NO
a. A Local Business Tax Receipt. Any person, firm, corporation or joint venture, with a business location in Miami-Dade County, Florida, which is submitting a proposal, shall meet the County's Local Business Tax Receipt requirements in accordance with Miami-Dade County, Florida, code. Proposers with a location outside Miami-Dade County shall meet their local Occupational Tax requirements. A copy of the license must be submitted. Noncompliance with this condition may cause the proposer not to be considered for award.		
b. Copy of current registration with the Florida Department of State, Division of Corporations to conduct business in the State of Florida. If Proposer is not registered to transact business in the State of Florida, Proposer must provide a copy of Proposer's current registration in their state of incorporation/organization, along with the applicable exemption to this requirement as set forth in Section 607.1501, Florida Statutes. This information must be documented on Exhibit 1 (Cover Page), along with submittal of the required incorporation/organization documentation.		
c. Be regularly engaged in the business of providing the services described in this ITN for a minimum of five (5) years. Three (3) client reference letters from organizations comparable in complexity and/or size to M-DCPS, preferably. This must be documented on Exhibit 6, Proposer Experience Form, whereby each Proposer uses one form per reference. DO NOT include work/services performed for M-DCPS or M-DCPS employees as reference.		
d. Submission of all documentation/information stated in this ITN, including, without limitation, the documentation, information and/or plans stated in Sections 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of this ITN, as well as the required forms and exhibits, as stated in Section 10 of this ITN.		
e. Proposer must be the manufacturer of the proposed system (preferred) OR manufacturer-authorized reseller. Only one proposal per manufacturer will be accepted. Each manufacturer may partner with <u>only one</u> proposer/reseller. If you are the manufacturer, you must include a letter affirming your status as the OEM with the proposal. If you are a reseller partnering with a manufacturer, you must include a letter from the manufacturer confirming the proposer's authorization and partnership for this specific ITN with the proposal. Proposals based on the same manufacturer as another submitted proposal may receive no further consideration.		
f. If applicable, Proposers must submit with their proposal a copy of their current certified firm certificate showing their firm's designation. Proposers' certified firm certification(s) must be in place prior to the ITN due date.		

g. If applicable, please include Exhibit 18 (Statement of Intent to Perform as a Certified Subcontractor/Subconsultant) <u>in your proposal, completed and signed by the certified subcontractor/subconsultant</u>, to specify the name of the certifies subcontractor/ subconsultant, the proposed dollar amount or percentage of work to be spent with the identified certified subcontractor/subconsultant, along with the scope of work the subcontractor/subconsultant will provide related to this solicitation. Please submit a copy of the subcontractor's/ subconsultant's current certificate showing the firm's designation, along with the completed Exhibit 18.		
---	--	--

REVISED SECTION 8 – PROPOSAL PRICING

(Signature required at the end of this Section)

Proposer must complete this Section in its entirety and may supplement this section with additional pages as to provide the District with a more detailed breakdown, backup and/or options of related cost associated with the services being solicited in this ITN.

Proposers are invited to submit proposal pricing based on one or more of the following models (Pricing Model 1, 2, and/or 3). Please include detailed pricing information for each model Proposer chooses to offer to the District.

Note: annual licensing fees shall be inclusive of all costs for, maintenance, and support of the proposed system.

Pricing Model # 1: Annual Licensing Fees: Per User License

Description	Estimated Number of Users:	Unit Cost	Total Annual Cost
Provide the cost per user for the Web Content Filtering System.	285,000 (250,000 Students / 35,000 Employees)	\$ _____ per user	\$ _____

Implementation Cost for Pricing Model # 1: \$ _____ (One-time fee)

Pricing Model # 2: Annual Licensing Fees: Bandwidth License

Description	Estimated Bandwidth	Total Annual Cost
Provide the cost based on bandwidth for the Web Content Filtering System.	2 x 100 GB Connections to the Internet	\$ _____

Implementation Cost for Pricing Model # 2: \$ _____ (One-time fee)

Pricing Model # 3 Annual Licensing Fees: Enterprise License

Description	Annual Cost
Provide the annual cost for an enterprise license, which remains the same regardless of the number of users.	\$ _____

Implementation Cost for Pricing Model # 3: \$ _____ (One-time fee)

(Continued on next page)

Optional Services Fees

The following services are optional and must be priced separately in the proposal response. Proposers may provide pricing on one or more optional services listed below. If able to, Proposers are invited to include these optional services in their proposal for the District's consideration. While optional services are not required, they may enhance the overall value of the proposal and will be considered during the evaluation process.

Description	Total Annual Cost
1. Student safety measure to monitor online student activity	\$ _____
2. Display relevant information about policy assignments	\$ _____
3. Client-side diagnostic utility to troubleshoot common issues	\$ _____
4. Temporary policy elevation or bypass from client for blocked pages/services	\$ _____
5. Ability for teachers to make limited web content exceptions for students in the classroom	\$ _____
TOTAL	\$ _____

The information in this ITN is to be utilized solely for preparing the proposal response to this ITN and does not constitute a commitment by the District to procure any product in any volume.

The Proposer shall offer all elements of this ITN and meet all service requirements and specifications listed within Section 4 - Scope of Services, including but not limited to all costs associated with the performance of these services, including labor, materials, transportation, training, maintenance, fees, etc.

THE EXECUTION OF THIS FORM CONSTITUTES THE UNEQUIVOCAL OFFER OF THE PROPOSER TO BE BOUND BY THE TERMS OF ITS PROPOSAL. FAILURE TO SIGN THIS PRICE PROPOSAL WHERE INDICATED BELOW BY AN AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OR PROVIDE THE FORM AS PRESENTED MAY RENDER THE PROPOSER NON-RESPONSIVE.

Signature of Proposer's Authorized Representative

Title

Printed Name:

Date:

**REVISED EXHIBIT 9
SUBMITTED PROPOSAL DOCUMENT VERIFICATION FORM**

All proposers are required to submit the following information to be considered for award. Failure to submit any of the required documents with the proposal may cause the proposer to be considered non-responsive and ineligible for further consideration.

REQUIREMENT	YES	NO
a) A Local Business Tax Receipt. Any person, firm, corporation or joint venture, with a business location in Miami-Dade County, Florida, which is submitting a proposal, shall meet the County's Local Business Tax Receipt requirements in accordance with Miami-Dade County, Florida, code. Proposers with a location outside Miami-Dade County shall meet their local Occupational Tax requirements. A copy of the license must be submitted. Noncompliance with this condition may cause the proposer not to be considered for award.		
a) Copy of current registration with the Florida Dept. of State, Division of Corporations to conduct business in the State of Florida. If Proposer is not registered to transact business in the State of Florida, Proposer must provide a copy of Proposer's current registration in their state of incorporation/organization, along with the applicable exemption to this requirement as set forth in Section 607.1501, Florida Statutes. This information must be documented on Exhibit 1 (Cover Page), along with submittal of the required incorporation/organization documentation.		
b) Be regularly engaged in the business of providing the services described in this ITN for a minimum of five (5) years. Three (3) client reference letters from organizations comparable in complexity and/or size to M-DCPS, preferably. This must be documented on Exhibit 6, Proposer Experience Form, whereby each Proposer uses one form per reference. DO NOT include work/services performed for M-DCPS or M-DCPS employees as reference.		
c) Submission of all documentation/information stated in this ITN, including, without limitation, the documentation, information and/or plans stated in Sections 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of this ITN, as well as the required forms and exhibits, as stated in Section 10 of this ITN.		
d) If applicable, Proposers must submit with their proposal a copy of their current certified firm certificate showing their firm's designation. Proposers' certified firm certification(s) must be in place prior to the ITN due date.		
e) Proposer must be the manufacturer of the proposed system (preferred) OR manufacturer-authorized reseller. Only one proposal per manufacturer will be accepted. Each manufacturer may partner with only one proposer/reseller. If you are the manufacturer, you must include a letter affirming your status as the OEM with the proposal. If you are a reseller partnering with a manufacturer, you must include a letter from the manufacturer confirming the proposer's authorization and partnership for this specific ITN with the proposal. Proposals based on the same manufacturer as another submitted proposal may receive no further consideration.		
f) If applicable, please include Exhibit 18 (Statement of Intent to Perform as a Certified Subcontractor/Subconsultant) <u>in your proposal, completed and signed by the certified subcontractor/subconsultant,</u> to specify the name of the certified subcontractor/subconsultant, the proposed dollar amount or percentage of work to be spent with the identified certified subcontractor/ subconsultant, along with the scope of work the subcontractor/subconsultant will provide related to this solicitation. Please submit a copy of the subcontractor's/ subconsultant's current certificate showing the firm's designation, along with the completed Exhibit 18.		

Please complete and sign below confirming all items noted above are included in your submission.

Name of Bidder: _____

Signature of Proposer's Authorized Representative _____ *Title* _____

Printed Name _____ *Date* _____

For Department Use Only: _____

PMS Staff Name/ Signature _____ *Date* _____

Originating Staff Name/ Signature (as applicable) _____ *Date* _____