

THE SCHOOL BOARD OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA SCHOOL BOARD ADMINISTRATION BUILDING Procurement Management Services 1450 N.E. 2nd Avenue, Room 650 Miami, FL 33132

Direct All Inquiries To Procurement Management Services				
Buyer's Name:				
PHONE: (305) 995				
Email:				

TDD PHONE: (305) 995-2400

BID/RFP ADDENDUM

Date: _____

Addendum No.

BID/RFP No._____BID/RFP TITLE: _____

This addendum modifies the conditions of the above-referenced BID/RFP as follows:

All information, specifications terms, and conditions for the above-referenced BID/RFP, are included on the document posted on the Procurement Management website at http://procurement.dadeschools.net

The attached pages containing clarifications, additional information and requirements constitute an integral part of the referenced bid. If your bid/proposal has not been submitted, substitute the pages marked REVISED and mail your entire bid/proposal package.

I acknowledge receipt of Addendum Number

PLEASE NOTE: If your firm has forwarded a copy of this bid/proposal to another vendor, it is your responsibility to forward him/her a copy of this addendum.

(PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT BELOW)

LEGAL NAME OF BIDDER:					
MAILING ADDRESS:					
CITY, STATE ZIP CODE:					
			FAX #		
BY: SIGNATURE (Manual): OF AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE					
			TITI E-		
	NAME (Typed): OF AUTHORIZED REPRESENTAT		TITLE:		

RFP-17-026-CH Questions

Professional Development for Non-Public Schools

Please clarify: P. 1 and P. 7 say: three (3) years initial term, with two (2), one (1) year options to renew; however; p. 4 says: three (3) year agreement, with one (1) two-year option to renew?

Answer: The correct term is noted on page 1. This RFP is for a three (3) year initial term with two (2) – one year options to renew.

2) Section 2.4(g)-Is this section applicable? If so, please provide more detail as to a "registered" apprenticeship program?

Answer: Please disregard sections 2.4g and h. They are not applicable.

3) Section 2.4(h)-this is a Title II request and it seems an evacuation plan is not appropriate. Please discuss.

Answer: Please disregard sections 2.4g and h. They are not applicable.

4) Section 2.4(n)-we are a limited liability company and not an individual. Companies per se do not obtain CEU's. Should this question be answered as "N/A"?

Answer: Yes.

- 5) Will multiple vendors be selected or is MDCPS looking for one provider?
 - a. If so, how many vendors will be selected?
 - b. How will schools select their vendor(s)?

Answer: The Selection Committee will review and determine what is in the best interest of the District.

6) Is it required to submit the Sample Agreement with the response?

Answer: Yes, please provide acknowledgement of the agreement and notate any redlined items.

7) Section 2.3 is absent from the RFP. Is this intentional or overlooked in the printing of the RFP?

Answer: Please note that the section numbering is off. Section 2.3 is the Scope of Services. Items listed as 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 are actually 2.3.1 and 2.3.2.

8) How many schools represented by the Archdiocese of Miami will be included?

Answer: There are approximately 40 Archdiocese schools.

9) How many schools represented by the Center for Advancement of Jewish Education will be included?

Answer: There are approximately 20 CAJE schools, which may include satellite campuses.

10) How many total teachers and school administrators across all schools will be included?

Answer: There are approximately 2500 staff members who are eligible for professional development services.

11) To what extent – and how - will the Office of Professional Development at Miami Dade County Public Schools be involved in the delivery of services?

Answer: The Office of Professional Development will ensure that high quality, professional development services are delivered to schools according to the state's protocol standards and Title II requirements.

12) Does the district plan to select a single vendor for this contract, or will it be a multi-vendor award?

Answer: The Selection Committee will review and determine what is in the best interest of the District.

13) If a vendor is currently providing instructional services to non-public schools under Title I, will they be able to continue providing professional development in their schools if another contractor is selected for this RFP?

Answer: The vendor(s) selected for this contract will be responsible for delivering PD services to the non-public schools, according to Title II guidelines, regardless of services provided through other programs.

14) What is the Title II allocation for this contract?

Answer: The Title II allocation for professional development services for the 2018-2019 school year is approximately \$650,000.00.

15) How many schools will be eligible to receive funding for services under this contract? How many schools will be participating in 2018-2019?

Answer: Approximately 40 schools in the Archdiocese, 20 in CAJE, and 15 independent schools.

16) Are all schools currently receiving Title I services eligible for the Title II program? Will there be any schools receiving Title II services only (and not Title I services)?

Answer: All non-public schools that are not-for-profit are eligible for Title II services. Each entitlement program has defined criteria, and in some cases, the schools can select which entitlement services they wish to participate. 17) If more than a single vendor is selected, how will schools be paired with the vendor? Will schools make their own selection or will the district assign the vendor?

Answer: The selection of the vendor will be made by the selection committee.

18) Will schools be able to use the services of more than one vendor? For example, if Vendor "A" offers a workshop that fills a need for one school and Vendor "B" offer a workshop that fills a different need for that same school, will both vendors be able to serve that school?

Answer: The Selection Committee will review and determine what is in the best interest of the District.

19) Is the Title II allocation for this contract pooled, or does each school have its own individual allocation based on eligible student population?

Answer: The Archdiocese and CAJE groups receive the majority of the funds, and the allocation is based on student FTE.

20) Who is the current provider (or providers) for this program?

Answer: FACTS Education Solutions

21) Please clarify requirement "g" noted in section #2.4 (page 26) which states "...evidence of a registered apprenticeship program". Can you provide an example?

Answer: Please disregard sections 2.4g and h. They are not applicable.

22) Does MDCPS currently use a LMS (Learning Management System) and which one, if so?

Answer: The district uses Frontline, My Learning Plan professional development management system.

23) What are compatible formats to MDCPS School Board operating systems?

Answer: Not applicable

24) Is this RFP fully funded, and are those funds available for payment to the successful bidding vendor? Is there a not to exceed number on this RFP, like the \$275K for RFP 14-055-nd?

Answer: Yes, this RFP is fully funded, through a reimbursement process.

25) Is there another LMS delivering Professional Development coursework outside of the MDCPS operating system?

No.

26) Are there any updates to the previously estimated 100 as the number of schools participating?

Answer: School information and student enrollment is updated annually after October FTE.

27) Are there any updates to the previously estimated 2500 as the number of professional development participants anticipated?

Answer: No, 2500 is still the current estimate.

28) Was there, and can we get a copy of the tech survey of the schools referenced in the RFP 14-055-nd, that was to occur?

Answer: Not applicable

29) Can we get a copy of approved current school-wide/district-wide educational improvement plan referenced in the RFP?

Answer: Not applicable

30) Can we get a copy of MDCPS current needs assessment used for planning, delivery, description of materials used and follow-up and evaluation?

Answer: Yes, the needs assessment results can be made available.

31) Can we get a copy of all current contractor and/or its subcontractor (s) submissions for professional development under RFP 14-055-nd: (a) Mind Streams Education contract with MDCPS (b) submitted Mind Streams professional development program.

Answer: Not applicable

32) Is a standard 3 ring binder acceptable to submit proposal?

Answer: Yes.

33) How many copies need to be submitted and in what format do we submit these documents and electronic copies?

Answer: Please follow copy requirements outlined in Section 1.9 of the RFP.

- One (1) unbound original proposal with all attachments and original signatures.
- Six (6) bound copies of the original proposal.
- Six (6) electronic versions on CD or USB Drive in Microsoft Word, Excel or PDF format.
- 34) As there is a limit to the number of pages for this submission, could we put our Professional Development Catalog in an Appendix?

Answer: Information in the appendix is acceptable.

35) In regards to the option for Internships, as we have experts that deliver our Professional Development, could we include other opportunities to involve interns?

Answer: The professional development services must be delivered by certified, qualified subject area experts, as defined in the requirements.

36) May the proposer also request administrative fees for services provided?

Answer: Fees and costs must be associated with the delivery of services as outlined in the RFP.

37) To what extent – and how - will the Office(s) at Miami Dade County Public Schools be involved in the delivery of professional learning services to the target schools? For example, might a vendor be named to build capacity of MDCPS staff so that they might then support schools identified in the RFP?

Answer: The Office of Professional Development will ensure that high quality, professional development services are delivered to schools according to the state's protocol standards and Title II requirements.

38) Does the district plan to select a single vendor for this contract, or will it be a multi-vendor award?

Answer: The Selection Committee will review and determine what is in the best interest of the District.

39) If a vendor is currently providing instructional services to non-public schools under Title I, will they be able to continue providing professional development in their schools if another contractor is selected for this RFP?

Answer: All non-public schools that are not-for-profit are eligible for Title II services, regardless of services provided by other programs. Entitlement funds can only be used to supplement, not supplant services.

40) What is the Title II allocation for this contract?

Answer: See response in question #14.

41) How many schools will be eligible to receive funding for services under this contract? How many schools will be participating in 2018-2019?

Answer: Approximately 40 schools in the Archdiocese, 20 in CAJE, and 15 independent schools.

42) Are all schools currently receiving Title I services eligible for the Title II program? Will there be any schools receiving Title II services only (and not Title I services)?

Answer: All non-public schools that are not-for-profit are eligible for Title II services. Each entitlement program has defined criteria, and in some cases, the schools can select which entitlement services they wish to participate.

43) If more than a single vendor is selected, how will schools be paired with the vendor? Will schools make their own selection or will the district assign the vendor?

Answer: The Selection Committee will review and determine what is in the best interest of the District.

44) Will schools be able to use the services of more than one vendor? For example, if Vendor "A" offers a workshop that fills a need for one school and Vendor "B" offer a workshop that fills a different need for that same school, will both vendors be able to serve that school?

Answer: The Selection Committee will review and determine what is in the best interest of the District.

45) Is the Title II allocation for this contract pooled, or does each school have its own individual allocation based on eligible student population?

Answer: The Archdiocese and CAJE groups receive the majority of the funds, and the allocation is based on student FTE.

46) Who is the current provider (or providers) for this program?

Answer: See response in question #20.